

12TH ANNUAL MATE INTERNATIONAL EXPLORER CLASS
June 20-22, Weyerhaeuser King County Aquatic Center, Federal Way, WA

Final scores

#	School Name	Final Mission Score*	Technical report**	Engineering***	Poster****	Safety Check	TOTAL	FINAL RANKING
21	Jesuit High School	235.0	82.0	85.3	43.0	30.0	475.3	1
10	Memorial University	230.0	73.8	82.3	42.5	30.0	458.6	2
7	Sea Tech 4H	260.0	59.8	60.7	38.5	30.0	448.9	3
13	The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology	188.0	85.8	75.7	44.5	20.0	413.9	4
12	Linn-Benton Community College	210.0	70.0	72.3	37.5	20.0	409.8	5
20	Copiah-Lincoln Community College	220.0	55.8	64.7	21.5	30.0	391.9	6
11	Far Eastern Federal University	130.0	79.0	77.3	38.0	20.0	344.3	7
9	Bauman Moscow State Technical University	125.0	75.5	74.0	33.5	30.0	338.0	8
19	Long Beach City College	125.0	75.3	67.3	35.0	20.0	322.6	9
17	Gahanna Lincoln High School	100.0	73.3	74.0	33.5	30.0	310.8	10
23	Arizona State University / NASA Space Grant Robotics	115.0	60.3	71.0	26.0	30.0	302.3	11
16	Arab Academy for Science and Technology and Maritime Transport-Alexandria	70.0	72.8	62.3	42.0	20.0	267.1	12
5	Milwaukee School Of Engineering (MSOE)	35.0	83.5	87.0	37.0	20.0	262.5	13
4	Notions Academy	65.0	80.0	66.0	35.5	10.0	256.5	14
22	Nanjing Institute of Technology	90.0	57.3	60.7	23.0	20.0	250.9	15
18	Mansoura University	30.0	72.0	75.3	26.0	30.0	233.3	16
15	Purdue University	25.0	71.5	72.0	30.5	30.0	229.0	17
3	The Ohio State University	45.0	71.0	61.7	31.0	20.0	228.7	18
14	University of Hail	40.0	60.3	69.0	28.5	30.0	227.8	19
6	Robert Gordon University	40.0	57.3	72.0	28.0	30.0	227.3	20
1	Cape Henlopen High School	40.0	73.0	62.7	29.5	20.0	225.2	21
2	Arab Academy for Science and Technology and Maritime Transport-Cairo	35.0	50.3	67.0	37.5	20.0	209.8	22
8	University of New Hampshire	35.0	64.8	64.0	7.5	20.0	191.3	23

FOOTNOTE

*higher score out of two mission attempts

**average of four scores

***average of three scores

****average of multiple scores

FOOTNOTE: This team's technical report and engineering presentation scores were reduced based on a penalty agreed to by the team captain prior to mission runs. This penalty was assessed for a variance from the MATE specifications and the point deductions are tied to the elements of technical communications where this specification would have been discussed.