## 2019 MATE ROV COMPETITION ENGINEERING PRESENTATION SCORE SHEET - NAVIGATOR

Navigator

Judge Name (First Last):

Competition Class:

Team #:

COMPANY/SCHOOL NAME: N/A

eam #:		COMPANY/SCHOOL NAME: N/A							
Category	Criteria	Scoring Requirements	Enter your scores here	Raw Score	Points Possible	Raw %	Weight	Category Score	Comments
					by category	,			
Safety				0	12	0%	10%	0.00	
	Content								
		Presentation highlighted safety features and philosophy		0					
	Safety procedures								
		Described safety protocols (e.g. safety checklist) and procedures for dealing with safety issues		0					
	Safety measures								
		Noted warning labels and safeguards on potentially hazardous parts		0					
Team Presentation				0	52	0%	25%	0.00	
	Preparation								
		All team members participated in the presentation		0					
		Team was well prepared for the presentation		0					
	Delivery								
		Presentation was dynamic, clear, and informative		0					
		"Sold" judges on purchasing the product		0					
	Insight/Creativity								
		Clearly described technical challenges and innovative, thoughtful solutions during design, construction, and operation		0					
		Clearly described organizational challenges and innovative, thoughtful solutions during design, construction, and operation		0					
	Understanding								
		Demonstrated an understanding of their ROV system design, specifications, and functions		0					
	Resources/Budget								
		Described process for developing and adhering to budget		0					
		Acknowledgement of donors of funds, materials, equipment		0					

Category	Criteria	Scoring Requirements	Enter your scores here	Raw Score	Points Possible	Raw %	Weight	Category Score	Comments
	Teamwork								
		Described skills gained to improve capabilities and meet challenges		0					
		Demonstrated project was a team effort with clear roles and influence of each team member		0					
		Team seems cohesive, inclusive, and supportive		0					
		Team demonstrates self-teaching as well as mentoring among team members		0					
Theme/Tasks				0	16	0%	10%	0.00	
	Content	Description of each links of the theory and university to the		0					
		Presentation clearly linked to the theme and mission tasks  Described the real world mission behind the tasks		0					
		Described the real world mission bening the tasks		0					
	Understanding								
		Demonstrated detailed understanding of the science/industry mission		0					
		Demonstrated an understanding of how their ROV's systems, specifications, and functions were designed to perform to the mission tasks		0					
Overall Design/Workmanship				0	16	0%	10%	0.00	
	Content	Overall decima is to such over well consciued, and comised out /hath							
		Overall design is team's own, well-conceived, and carried out (both functionally and aesthetically)		0					
		The vehicle is robust and shows skill in putting it together		0					
		Demonstrates thought to marketability and use by others		0					
		Discussed the extent to which the vehicle was tested prior to the event		0					
Build vs. Buy, New vs. Used				0	16	0%	20%	0.00	
	Justification	Descrided instifications for building how decisions		0					
		Provided justifications for build vs. buy decisions		0					
	Understanding	Provided justifications for new vs. re-used decisions		U					
	- Controlling	Demonstrated understanding of engineering principles of both their built and bought components		0					
		Demonstrated understanding of engineering principles of both their new and re-used components		0					

Category	Criteria	Scoring Requirements	Enter your scores here	Raw Score	Points Possible	Raw %	Weight	Category Score	Comments
System Design				0	108	0%	25%	0.00	
	Engineering Design Rationale								
		Overall vehicle design presented in clear and logical manner		0					
		Demonstrates step-by-step planning and design and building process		0					
		Design choices demonstrate thoughtful and balanced trade-offs		0					
	Originality								
		Team made innovations or modifications resulting in increased function at reduced costs		0					
		Demonstrated innovation in vehicle design, tools, or other features		0					
	Describes problem solving process								
		Thoroughly describes how the company brainstormed ideas		0					
		Evaluated ideas against competing alternatives		0					
		Used rational process (data, trade study) to evaluate alternatives		0					
	Systems approach								
		System reflects significant and thoughtful design, i.e., is not simply an assembly of mostly purchased parts		0					
	Material and component decisions								
		Discussed process and factors for making material, component, and other choices		0					
		Provided sound reasoning for their choices		0					
	Vehicle structure								
		Described trade-offs and rationale for vehicle cost, size, and weight		0					
	Vehicle systems								
		Described logically and clearly how components and materials were selected to perform specific tasks in a cost effective way		0					
		Described how the design evolved to meet the competition requirements		0					

	Category	Criteria	Scoring Requirements	Enter your scores here	Raw Score	Points Possible	Raw %	Weight	Category Score	Comments
Control scheme as designed by the team is sensible, efficient, and logical Provided a good description of control system design, including cabling Demonstrated complete understanding of control system functions and features All team members understand control system design 0 Demonstrated understanding of tether design and requirements Developed and presented a tether management protocol Propulsion  Sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of thrusters  Buoyancy and Ballast  Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used 0  Payload and Tools  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements 0 Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  0 220 100% 0.00 Base Sco		Control/Electrical system								
Provided a good description of control system design, including cabling Demonstrated complete understanding of control system functions and features All team members understand control system design Demonstrated understanding of tether design and requirements Developed and presented a tether management protocol  Propulsion Sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of thrusters Buoyancy and Ballast Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used Oave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  0 220 100% 0.00 Base Sco					0					
and features All team members understand control system design Demonstrated understanding of tether design and requirements Developed and presented a tether management protocol  Propulsion Sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of thrusters  Buoyancy and Ballast  Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used  O acay as easible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload and Tools  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  D according to the type of the typ			Provided a good description of control system design, including		0					
Demonstrated understanding of tether design and requirements Developed and presented a tether management protocol  Propulsion  Sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of thrusters  Buoyancy and Ballast  Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used  Payload and Tools  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  0 220 100%  0.00 Base Sco					0					
Developed and presented a tether management protocol  Propulsion  Sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of thrusters  Buoyancy and Ballast  Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used  Payload and Tools  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation			All team members understand control system design		0					
Propulsion Sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of thrusters  Buoyancy and Ballast  Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used  Oave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload and Tools  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  O 220 100% 0.00 Base Sco			Demonstrated understanding of tether design and requirements		0					
Sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of thrusters  Buoyancy and Ballast  Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used  Payload and Tools  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  0 220 100% 0.00 Base Sco			Developed and presented a tether management protocol		0					
thrusters  Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used  Payload and Tools  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras  Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation		Propulsion								
Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used  Payload and Tools  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation					0					
Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used  Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras  Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements  Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  O 220 100% 0.00 Base Sco		Buoyancy and Ballast								
Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras  Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements  Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks  Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  O 220 100% 0.00 Base Sco			Demonstrated understanding of buoyancy and ballasting principles		0					
Gave a sensible rationale provided for number, type, and placement of cameras  Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements  Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			Gave a sensible rationale for the type of buoyancy used		0					
of cameras Payload tool designs meet functional and mission requirements Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  0 220 100% 0.00 Base Sco		Payload and Tools								
Sensors used are appropriate for vehicle operation and tasks Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  0 0 0 Base Scott					0					
Demonstrated an understanding of theory and design of sensors/instrumentation  0  10  100  100  100  100  100  100					0					
sensors/instrumentation  0 220 100% 0.00 Base Sco					0					
					0					
May					0	220		100%	0.00	Base Score
Raw Points (check:100) (check:100)							(			

Category	Criteria	Scoring Requirements	Enter your scores here	Raw Score	Points Possible Raw %	Weight	Category Score	Comments
						Weight		
Discretionary Points			0-4 pts each	0	8	1	0	Discretionary points
	te	Exceptional design and innovation demonstrated in vehicle design, ools, or other feature  Feam demonstrated remarkable effort to design and manufacture	Cuon	0				
		every component of the vehicle		0				
Deductions			0-4 pts each	0	8	1	0	<b>Deduction points</b>
	a	Significant interference by coaches, mentors, parents providing assistance during presentation and/or design process (with exception of language barriers)		0				
		Significant overuse of commercial or re-used components without adequate justification		0				
							0	Final Score
Other Comments								T IIIdi Ocorc

Scoring Rubric (applies to all score Items)	Outcome	Criteria	Score
	Missing	Not included, can't evaluate	0
	Needs work	Effort made, meets some key requirements. Understanding or treatment of key requirements needs more depth. Judges had to question deeply to find answers.	1
	Partially meets requirement	Response demonstrates understanding and addresses most key requirements. Simple prodding from judges encouraged team to answer.	2
	Meets requirement	Response demonstrates thorough understanding and addresses all key requirements. Team addressed topic with little to no prompting.	3
	Exceeds requirement	Response extends beyond key requirements, demonstrating exceptional depth and breadth of understanding.	4

Discretionary Points Rubric	Degree	Points
Criteria:	None	0
- Novelty - Depth of Understanding - Depth of Analysis - Effectiveness (functions as intended) - Quality of Implementation	Minor	1
	Fair	2
	Good	3
	Extraordinary	4

Deductions Rubric	Degree	Deduction
Criteria:	None	0
- Extent to which team relied on outside help, existing work and/or purchased components and services	Minor	1
	Fair	2
	Medium	3
	Extreme	4